Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R000100060009-8



GOVERNOR

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE SIGNMOND

Jeen by LKSt , 1963 Ing 9

February 1, 1963

accounty.

The Honorable John A. McCone Director Central Intelligence Agency Washington 25, D. C:

Dear Mr. McCone:

Your letter of January thirtieth deals basically with the same question raised only recently by the Deputy Secretary of Defense, The Honorable Roswell Gilpatric.

I have discussed this matter with the Tirginia State Tax Commissioner, Mr. C.H. Morrissett, who summarizes the problem in the enclosed memorandum addressed to me under date of January twenty-eighth.

I am transmitting Mr. Morrissett's views so that you may have the benefit of this information prior to the suggested conference. I am advising the Tax Commissioner of our correspondence and I know he will be glad to join in a conference with you for further discussion of the matter at a mutually agreeable time.

Mr. Morrissett met last summer in Washing-, ton with tax authorities of Maryland and the District of Columbia, on the initiative of the Finance Officer of the District and it was the concensus of that meeting that it would be desirable to have an amendment to the federal law relating to withholding taxes for the states and the District of Columbia.



COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE RICHMOND

ALBERTIS S. HARRISON, JR.

Mr. McCone

-2-

February 1, 1963.

The Commonwealth of Virginia is keenly aware of the benefits accruing by reason of the various federal installations in Virginia and the suggestion which Mr. Morrissett has outlined is affored in the hope that it might be found mutually advantageous.

With kind regards, I.am

Sincerely yours,

A. S. Harrison, Jr.

ami

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Morrissett



ILLEGIB

· 20 jan 63

Henorable Albertis S. Marrison Governor of the Communicath of Virginia Richmond, Virginia

Dear Governor Harrison

As I am sure you know, under the new Virginia income tent law, persons who work in Virginia but live elsewhere are new tened in Virginia. This of course includes a ciscoble number of employees of this Agency working in Langley but reciding in the District of Columbia or Maryland. Also, the fax rate in Virginia, beginning at a relatively low income level, is higher than those of the District of Columbia and Maryland. Among employees of this Agency there is a considerable resentment, not only because the Virginia ten will increase their tax load, but also from a feeling that because they work in a Federal building and commute almost entirely over Federal highways and bridges the tax is unfair. The result is to cause a serious morale problem among our employees which I believe is having an aiverse effect on, among other things, the shility of this Agency to recruit and retzin qualified employees.

It of course is for the Virginia authorities to determine the sources of revenue which it will sock to reach. However, the more presence in Virginia of installations of the sine of the Control Intelligence Agency Meadquarters and the Postagen surely represents a considerable bonefit to Virginia revenues. For example, in 1956, before the Control Intelligence Agency Headquarters building in Lengthy existed or had been decided upon, 31.8 per sent of Control Intelligence Agency employees resided in the Virginia areas adjacent to Washington. With our new building under way and new completed and occupied, this figure climbed to 45.4 per cont by February, 1961, and to 53.4 per cont by June, 1962. I would wender whether Virginia's

interests also would not be served by tax policies encouraging and welcoming such installations. Also, it may well be that a realistic estimate of the revenues actually estimate under the nonresident tax, measured against the cost in measy and otherwise, would seriously question the utility and value of that feature of the tax law.

I am sure you are sware also that the Maryland tax law has a fermula which exampts from the Maryland neareoidest tax law in certain eircumstances persons who commute to work in Maryland from a state which does not tax Marylanders who commute to work in that state. It may well be that now that Virginia no longer exempts Marylanders who commute to Virginia, the Maryland exemption will no longer relieve Virginia commuters. If this is correct, the effect of the new Virginia logicalities will be to tax Virginians in Maryland.

It seems appropriate to note also that the Central Intelligence Agency move to Lengley was a major influence in speeding up the construction of the George Washington Memorial Parturey and other Federal highway and bridge facilities in the Virginia area of Metropolitan Washington.

For this Agency the nonrealdent tax raises special security problems inherent in the necessity that the employment or association of certain personnal with this Agency not be known to others. We would be glad to send a representative to Richmond to discuss this problem with appropriate Virginia officials.

hy purpose here is to bring these problems and objectionable features to your stienties with the hope that you and the General Assembly will see fit to modify the Virginia law as may be necessary to remove this burden on commuters and on this Agency as an employer. Specifically, it is requested that Virginia exempt from the Virginia income tax law persons who work in Virginia but commute to work from elsewhere.

cc: Leonard Niederlehner
Deputy General Counsel
Office of the Secretary of
Defense

CC AD/S 6 Jet 63

OGC/RHL:cdk (23 Jan 63)

Distribution:

O & 1 - Addressee

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - ER

1 - Chief, Audit Staff

1 - OGC w/background

Macerely,

John A. McCome Director